# Manufacturing Readiness Levels (MRLs) and Manufacturing Readiness Assessments (MRAs)





Jim Morgan

Manufacturing Technology Division Phone # 937-904-4600 Jim.Morgan@wpafb.af.mil

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collecti this burden, to Washington Headquuld be aware that notwithstanding an OMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments is arters Services, Directorate for Infor	regarding this burden estimate of mation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the property of the contract of the con	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington			
1. REPORT DATE SEP 2008		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-2008	RED 3 to 00-00-2008			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER						
Manufacturing Readiness Levels (MRLs) and Manufacturing Readiness					5b. GRANT NUMBER			
Assessments (MRA	AS)		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER					
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER					
					5e. TASK NUMBER			
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
	ZATION NAME(S) AND AD <b>7 Hobson Way,Wrig</b>	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER						
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
				11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S)	ONITOR'S REPORT			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO See also ADM0021 on 9-12 September	83. Presented at the	Technology Maturi	ty Conference he	eld in Virgini	a Beach, Virginia			
14. ABSTRACT								
15. SUBJECT TERMS								
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON				
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	16	RESPONSIBLE PERSON			

**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188



## **Outline**



- Why Manufacturing Readiness?
- Manufacturing Readiness Levels & Assessments
- Implementation of MRLs
- MRA Tools
- Example results
- Policy Status
- Closing Thoughts



## Why Manufacturing Readiness?



Manufacturing & Industrial Base Challenge

- Consensus among Congress, OSD, CSAF, GAO:
  - "Advanced weapon systems cost too much, take too long to field, and are too expensive to sustain"
- GAO study of 54 weapons programs:
  - Core set of 26 programs: RDT&E costs up by 42% (\$42.7B total) and schedule slipped by 20% (2.5 years on average)
  - Characteristics of successful programs (GAO):
    - Mature technologies, stable designs, <u>production processes in control</u>
    - <u>S&T organization responsible for maturing technologies</u>, rather than program or product development manager
- Products made by immature manufacturing processes generally:
  - Cost more
  - Are prone to quality problems
  - Experience schedule delays
  - May not perform the same
  - Are less reliable in service



## **Today's Air Force Reality**



- Diminishing manufacturing infrastructure
  - People, policy, programs gutted
  - Lost recipe on how to manage manufacturing risk
  - Won't get infrastructure back, but still need to manage and mitigate manufacturing risk
- Utilize MRL/MRA as a tool
  - Supports knowledge-based acquisition
  - Integral to Systems Engineering Plan
  - Essential for effective and efficient transition of capability to the warfighter



# Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs) and Manufacturing Readiness Levels (MRLs)

- TRLs provide a common language & widely-understood standard for:
  - Assessing the performance maturity of a technology and plans for its future maturation
  - Understanding the level of performance risk in trying to transition the technology into a weapon system application

#### TRLs leave major transition questions unanswered:

Is the technology producible?
What will these cost in production?
Can these be made in a production environment?
Are key materials and components available?

MRLs assist in answering these questions

- MRLs provide a common language and standard for
  - Assessing the *manufacturing maturity* of a technology or product and plans for its future maturation
  - Understanding the level of manufacturing risk in trying to produce a weapon system or transition the technology into a weapon system application



## **MRL** Relationships



#### Relationship to System Acquisition Milestones

Pre-Concept Refinement		Concept Refine- ment	Technology Development		System Development & Demonstration		Production & Deployment				
MRL 1  Mfg Feasibility Assessed	MRL 2  Mfg Concepts Defined	MRL 3  Mfg Concepts Developed	MRL 4 Manufacturing Processes In lab Environment	MRL 5 Components In Production Relevant Environment	MRL 6 System or Subsystem In Production Relevant Environment	MRL 7 System or Subsystem In Production Representative Environment	MRL 8 Pilot Line Demonstrated Ready for LRIP	MRL 9 LRIP Demonstrated Ready for FRP	MRL 10 FRP Demonstrated Lean Production Practices in place		
TRL 1 Basic Principles Observed	TRL 2 Concept Formulation	TRL 3 Proof of Concept	TRL 4 Breadboard in Lab	TRL 5 Breadboard in Rep Environment	TRL 6 Prototype in Rep Environment	TRL 7 Prototype in Ops Environment		TRL 8 System Qual	TRL 9 Mission Proven		

Relationship to Technology Readiness Levels



## Nine MRL Evaluation Criteria ("Threads")



- 1. Technology and Industrial Base
  - Technology maturity, technology transition to production, ManTech development
- 2. Design
  - Producibility program, design maturity
- 3. Cost and Funding
  - Production cost knowledge (cost modeling), cost analysis, mfg investment budget
- 4. Materials (raw matls, components, subassys, subsystems)
  - Maturity, availability, supply chain management, special handling
- 5. Process Capability and Control
  - Modeling & Simulation (product & process), mfg process maturity, process yields/rates
- 6. Quality Management, to include supplier quality
- 7. Manufacturing Personnel, to include specialization, training, & certification
- 8. Facilities, to include capacity and plant layout & design
- 9. Manufacturing Management
  - Manufacturing planning and scheduling
  - Materials planning
  - Tooling and special test equipment



# What is a Manufacturing Readiness Assessment?



- An Assessment of a Program's Readiness to Manufacture and Produce its Intended Design
- A Tool to Develop and Implement -
  - Manufacturing Risk Mitigation Plans
  - Business Strategies
    - Effects of Design Changes (Planned Upgrades, Spiral)
    - Pricing Agreements (Long Term vs. Single Lot)
    - Capital Investment Plans (Contractor and/or Government)
- Results in an Assignment of MRLs to Key System Components and Development of a Manufacturing Maturation Plan as Required



#### **MRA Deliverables**



### Provide briefing and/or written report

- Identify current MRL/target MRL
- Identify key factors where manufacturing readiness falls short of target MRL
  - Define driving issues
- Identify programs and plans to reach target MRL
- Assess type and significance of risk to cost, schedule or performance
- Next step: Stay engaged to assist in implementing and executing the Manufacturing Maturity Plan



## **Implementing MRLs:** Who is Using Them?



- Mandated by AFRL for all Category 1 hardware ATDs and certain high-visibility programs
- Selected Air Force acquisition programs, including all at AAC
- Army using on Future Combat Systems development efforts
- Missile Defense Agency
- Industry has adopted and is using MRLs within their gated processes



















And the list is growing.....



## **MRL** Implementation Approach



- Conduct pilot MRAs on various programs
  - Hardware-intensive Category 1 ATDs
  - Weapon system acquisition programs
- Conduct tailored training for key program personnel
  - Category 1 ATD IPTs, ACAT pilot program, and Air Force Product Centers
  - Transition training
    - DAU for awareness and policy
    - AFIT for in-depth MRA and manufacturing instruction
- Put MRLs into policy documents
  - AFRL, AFMC, AF, OSD
- Socialize MRLs whenever possible
- Develop and deploy Manufacturing Readiness products
  - Continuously refine products based on feedback, need



#### MRL/MRA Products/Tools



- Most of our MRL products/tools have been developed with other Services and industry
  - MRL definitions, entry/exit criteria
  - MRL training blocks (2-hr, 4-hr, multi-day)
  - MRA Deskbook (modeled after TRA Deskbook)
  - Pre-MRA self-assessment questionnaire
  - Excel-based MRA tool
  - Draft DoD and AF policy
  - Defense Acquisition Guidebook language
  - MRA "frequently asked questions" repository



## **MRA Results Examples**



- Focused Lethality Munition ready for LRIP
  - Eglin High Explosive Research Development facility originally assessed at MRL 5 (May 07); now at MRL 8
  - Aerojet composite warhead case originally assessed at MRL 5 (March 07); now at MRL 8
- AMRAAM C-7 production rate increased from <10 to 28+ per month
- F135 Propulsion Persistent Strike accelerated F135 thrust improvement by ~4 yrs w/plan to mature advanced casting producibility from MRL 3 to 5
- MQ-9 Reaper



## **MRL Policy Status**



- Goal: Establish manufacturing risk management as a tenet of acquisition management
  - Recommended levels
    - MS A MRL 4
    - MS B MRL 6
    - MS C MRL 8
    - FRP MRL 9
- Not designed to be a 'go/no-go' criteria
- OSD (AT&L) recently sent a draft policy memo to the Services
  - Services and OSD Systems Engineering nonconcurred; suggested MRL use at MS C only
  - Expect AT&L to press forward with revised language in coming weeks



## Some MRA Lessons Learned



- Process is more effective if company and program office are actively engaged in the assessment
- System integration and test operations are often ripe for maturation efforts
- With few exceptions, requires 'feet on the (shop) floor'
- Resources required to conduct an MRA will vary significantly
  - Not all programs are equal
- Subject matter expertise is needed to 'do it right'
- Templates and guidelines developed
  - Not a 'one size fits all' solution
  - Engineering skills/judgment still needed
  - Must avoid a checklist mentality



## **Closing Thoughts**



- Feedback from those who have applied MRLs thus far has been positive
- Expectations management is important; MRLs will not solve world hunger
- Congress, National Defense Industry Association and other industry consortia have been vocally supportive
- Policy implementation pending, but many are using as a best practice and DAU is including MRLs in courses
- Fits well within Defense Systems Engineering construct, but should not be diluted to the point of becoming ineffective (e.g. PRRs)